

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/692,632	10/24/2003	Jan-Jaco Marco Wieland	3531P011	8644	
8791 7590 08/13/2004 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			EXAM	INER	
			FULLER, RO	DNEY EVAN	
	12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030		2851			
		DATE MAILED: 08/13/200	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/692,632	WIELAND ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rodney E Fuller	2851			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		-			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Oc	<u>ctober 2003</u> .	·			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	•				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-7,40 and 41</u> is/are allowed.					
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 4 and 8-39 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Rodney Fuller					
		Primary Examiner			
Attachment(s)		Roth			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	aton Application (FTO-132)			

Application/Control Number: 10/692,632 Page 2

Art Unit: 2851

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the terms "means" and "said" used throughout the abstract. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 9, line 1, the word "ach" appears to be a typographical error.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Objections

- 4. Claims 12, 36-38 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.
  - a. Claim 12 depends from claims 3-13. Claim 13 is not a previous claim.
  - b. Claim 36 does not depend from a previous claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,632 Page 3

Art Unit: 2851

c. Claim 38 depends from claim 37, which depends from claim 36, which depends

from claim 38. (i.e, claim  $38 \rightarrow \text{claim } 37 \rightarrow \text{claim } 36 \rightarrow \text{claim } 38$ )

5. Claims 4 and 8-39 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form

because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim.

See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4 and 8-39 have not been further treated on the

merits.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-3, 5-7 and 40-41 allowed.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not teach or disclose a maskless lithography system that converts pattern data

into a modulated light beam, optically couples the modulated light beam to a modulation means

of the lithography system, and controls the modulation means by the modulated light beam.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure:

Gil, et al. (US 2004/0124372), Mei, et al. (US 6,370,867), Jain, et al. (US

6,312,134) and Ishikawa (US 6,251,550) each disclose a maskless photolithography

system.

Art Unit: 2851

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney E Fuller whose telephone number is 571-272-2118. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney E Fuller Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851

August 9, 2004